

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary
Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission:

2. Agency: Department of Commerce

3. Bureau: U.S. Department Of Commerce - Agency Wide

4. Name of this Capital Asset: Commerce Business Environment

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.) 006-00-01-60-01-0502-00

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2010? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY 2010, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY 2010 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.) Operations and Maintenance

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2001 or earlier

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

The Office of Acquisition Management (OAM) Commerce Business Environment (CBE) provides and supports enterprise-wide automated business tools and solutions for the Department's acquisition professionals and their customers. Acquisition professionals and their customers are located within the Department's Office of the Secretary, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA), and the Bureau of the Census. The Patent and Trademark Office (PTO), as a Performance Based Organization, also uses various tools and business solutions from the CBE. The CBE is an evolving technology environment that integrates acquisition technology tools that assist the Department's bureau in complying with sound Federal acquisition business practices. The CBE is aiming to become a fully web-based, automated solution to address DoC's core functional acquisition requirements while also leveraging external sources such as the government-wide Integrated Acquisition Environment (IAE).

As of 2008, the vendor for the contract writing system within the CBE no longer provides maintenance services under the pre-negotiated GSA contract. The intent of the vendor is to retire the software; however, they maintain the current software for DoC under a two year product services contract which expires in FY 2010. Increases in FY 2009 and FY 2010 and the outyears reflect the high cost of the maintenance contract. Based on initial market research, a considerable budget increase will be required to install any acceptable replacement solution.

OAM is currently performing an alternative analysis to identify improved options for more fully automating the Commerce acquisition processes. It is anticipated that OAM will continue to sponsor the steady state option/status quo alternative for now while other options are priced, analyzed and explored for an FY 2011 decision.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? Yes

a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? 6/18/2004

10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? Yes

11. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?

Name

Phone Number

Email

a. What is the current FAC-P/PM (for civilian agencies) or DAWIA (for defense agencies) certification level of the program/project manager? New Program Manager

b. When was the Program/Project Manager Assigned? 10/1/2007

c. What date did the Program/Project Manager receive the FAC-P/PM certification? If the certification has not been issued, what is the anticipated date for certification? 7/1/2009

Exhibit 300: Commerce Business Environment (Revision 3)

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project?	Yes
a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	Yes
b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	No
1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?	
2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?	
3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?	
13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives?	Yes
If "yes," check all that apply:	Expanded E-Government Financial Performance
a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s) (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)	The CBE affords the Department's financial systems the ability to produce accurate and timely information to support operating, budget, and policy decisions. The CBE improves timeliness by re-engineering processes and expanding use of web-based technologies. The CBE automates internal processes to reduce costs internally and within the federal government.
14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part .)	No
a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?	No
b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?	
c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?	
15. Is this investment for information technology?	Yes
If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.	
For information technology investments only:	
16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	Level 2
17. In addition to the answer in 11(a), what project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	(2) Project manager qualification is under review for this investment
18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2008 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)	No
19. Is this a financial management system?	No
a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFIA compliance area?	No
1. If "yes," which compliance area:	
2. If "no," what does it address?	
b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52	
20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)	
Hardware	0
Software	14
Services	86

Other 0

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? N/A

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name

Phone Number

Title IT Specialist

E-mail

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? Yes

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? No

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS)									
(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010	BY+1 2011	BY+2 2012	BY+3 2013	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	0.566	0	0	0					
Acquisition:	5.363	0	0	0					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	5.929	0	0	0					
Operations & Maintenance:	5.352	1.954	1.888	2.829					
TOTAL:	11.281	1.954	1.888	2.829					
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	10.522	3.361	3.361	3.361					
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	67	25	25	25					

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? No

a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:
 The O&M FTE costs have been recalculated to more accurately reflect those existing resources that are being utilized to maintain the Obligation & Requisition Standard Interface (ORSI) which was implemented in 2006. The addition of these FTE resources are provided for reporting purposes only. Since the FTE resources are being pulled from the current pool of existing bureau resources and have been assigned additional responsibilities of maintaining the financial interface this does not reflect an overall increase request to this project's funding.

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16)	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer FAC-C or DAWIA Certification Level (Level 1, 2, 3, N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
Interface Licenses-TIBCO	Firm Fixed Price	Yes	10/1/2007	10/1/2007	9/30/2008	0.024	No	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Yes		danderson@doc.gov	Level 3	
IT Security Services	Firm Fixed Price	Yes	10/1/2007	10/1/2007	9/30/2008	0.05	No	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Yes		danderson@doc.gov	Level 3	
C.Request Maintenance	Firm Fixed Price	Yes	10/1/2007	10/1/2007	9/30/2008	0.183	No	Yes	No	NA	No	Yes		danderson@doc.gov	Level 3	
Product Management Support	Firm Fixed Price	Yes	10/1/2007	10/1/2007	9/30/2008	0.691	No	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Yes		danderson@doc.gov	Level 3	
SAS Licenses	Firm Fixed Price	Yes	10/1/2007	10/1/2007	9/30/2008	0.175	No	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Yes		danderson@doc.gov	Level 3	
EARS O&M	Firm Fixed Price	Yes	10/1/2007	10/1/2007	9/30/2008	0.31	No	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Yes		danderson@doc.gov	Level 3	
Interface Licenses-TIBCO	Firm Fixed Price	Yes	10/1/2008	10/1/2008	9/30/2009	0.028	No	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Yes		danderson@doc.gov	Level 3	
IT Security Services	Firm Fixed Price	Yes	10/1/2008	10/1/2008	9/30/2009	0.04	No	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Yes		danderson@doc.gov	Level 3	
C.Request Maintenance	Firm Fixed Price	Yes	10/1/2008	10/1/2008	9/30/2009	0.127	No	Yes	No	NA	No	Yes		danderson@doc.gov	Level 3	
Product Management Support	Firm Fixed Price	Yes	10/1/2008	10/1/2008	9/30/2009	0.715	No	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Yes		danderson@doc.gov	Level 3	
SAS Licenses	Firm Fixed Price	Yes	10/1/2008	10/1/2008	9/30/2009	0.161	No	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Yes		danderson@doc.gov	Level 3	
EARS O&M	Firm Fixed Price	Yes	10/1/2008	10/1/2008	9/30/2009	0.31	No	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Yes		danderson@doc.gov	Level 3	

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:
 Earned value will not be utilized with Firm Fixed Priced software maintenance contracts. However, with developmental efforts, EVM will be a contract requirement.
3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance? Yes
- a. Explain why not or how this is being done? Although the current CSTARS/C.Buy is not compliant, DoC ensures that the envisioned future system architectures, including key components, will provide customers and other stakeholders with ready access to program information and services in accordance with Section 508. DoC ensures that individuals know what to do when they have current technology-related requests or complaints and can secure acceptable solutions.
4. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? Yes
- a. If "yes," what is the date? 6/18/2004
1. Is it Current? No
- b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?
1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2006	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Response Time	% of customers satisfied with CASD's responsiveness and resolution of system issues in a timely manner.	OAM utilized a Balanced Scorecard to collect performance data. The first year for this measure is September 2006 and is 51%	70%	51%
2006	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment Management	% of Acquisition Community who have a clear understanding of Commerce Business Environment (CBE).	OAM utilized a Balanced Scorecard to collect performance data. The first year for this measure is September 2006 and is 61%.	70%	61%
2006	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Efficiency	% of users who know whom to contact on Management Information Systems issues.	OAM utilized a Balanced Scorecard to collect performance data. The first year for this measure is September 2006 and is 67%.	70%	67%
2006	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve	Technology	Information and Data	Data Reliability and Quality	% of management information	OAM utilized a Balanced Scorecard to	70%	47%

Exhibit 300: Commerce Business Environment (Revision 3)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	Organizational and Management Excellence.				systems used generate timely, accurate, complete and useful automated and ad-hoc reports.	collect performance data. The first year for this measure is September 2006 and is 47%.		
2006	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability	% of management information systems (i.e. CSTARs, FPDS-NG, EARS, Balance Scorecard, etc.) that provide access to timely, reliable and accurate information for decision making.	OAM utilized a Balanced Scorecard to collect performance data. The first year for this measure is September 2006 and is 39%.	70%	39%
2007	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Response Time	% of customers satisfied with CASD's responsiveness and resolution of system issues in a timely manner.	51%	80%	Question removed from BSC; This question will be re-instated in FY09.
2007	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment Management	% of Acquisition Community who have a clear understanding of Commerce Business Environment (CBE).	61%	80%	48%
2007	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Efficiency	% of users who know whom to contact on Management Information Systems issues.	67%	80%	70%
2007	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Technology	Information and Data	Data Reliability and Quality	% of management information systems used generate timely, accurate, complete and useful automated and ad-hoc reports.	47%	80%	Question removed from BSC
2007	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability	% of management information systems (i.e. CSTARs, FPDS-NG, EARS, Balance Scorecard, etc.) provide access to timely, reliable and accurate information for decision making.	39%	80%	Question removed from BSC
2007	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability	% Satisfied with Information Technology	OAM utilized a Balanced Scorecard to collect performance data. The first year for this measure is September 2007 and is 58%.	70%	58%
2008	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment Management	% of Acquisition Community who have a clear understanding of Commerce Business Environment	61%	90%	40%

Exhibit 300: Commerce Business Environment (Revision 3)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
					(CBE).			
2008	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Efficiency	% of users who know whom to contact on Management Information Systems issues.	67%	90%	75%
2008	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability	% Satisfied with Information Technology	58%	80%	69%
2009	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Response Time	% of customers satisfied with CASD's responsiveness and resolution of system issues in a timely manner.	The first year for this measure is September 2006 and is 51%.	80%	
2009	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment Management	% of Acquisition Community who have a clear understanding of Commerce Business Environment (CBE).	61%	95%	
2009	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Efficiency	% of users who know whom to contact on Management Information Systems issues.	67%	95%	
2009	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability	% Satisfied with Information Technology	58%	90%	
2010	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Response Time	% of customers satisfied with CASD's responsiveness and resolution of system issues in a timely manner.	51%	90%	
2010	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Mission and Business Results	Administrative Management	Facilities, Fleet, And Equipment Management	% of Acquisition Community who have a clear understanding of Commerce Business Environment (CBE).	61%	95%	
2010	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Processes and Activities	Productivity	Efficiency	% of users who know whom to contact on Management Information Systems issues.	67%	95%	
2010	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Reliability	% Satisfied with Information Technology	58%	90%	

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
OS010 CSTARS/C.Buy, C.Request, ORSI	No	Yes	http://ocio.os.doc.gov/ITPolicyandPrograms/IT_Privacy/dev01_003746	No	A SORN is not necessary as CSTARS/C.Buy, C.Request, ORSI is not a system of records within the meaning of the Privacy Act.
OS024 EARS, BSC	No	Yes	EARS is identified as an ancillary system in CSTARS PIA: http://ocio.os.doc.gov/ITPolicyandPrograms/IT_Privacy/dev01_003746	No	A SORN is not necessary as EARS is not a system of records within the meaning of the Privacy Act.
Web-based Contract Writing System	Yes	Yes	http://ocio.os.doc.gov/ITPolicyandPrograms/IT_Privacy/dev01_003746	No	A SORN is not necessary as Web-based Contract Writing System will not be a system of records within the meaning of the Privacy Act.

Details for Text Options:
 Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.
 Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.
 Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes
 - a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. Commerce Business Environment (CBE)
 - b. If "no," please explain why?

3. Is this investment identified in a completed and approved segment architecture? No
 - a. If "yes," provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect. For detailed guidance regarding segment architecture codes, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>. 610-000

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table: Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov .								
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Procurement	Commerce Business Environment	Back Office Services	Financial Management	Expense Management			No Reuse	5
Procurement	Commerce Business	Business Management	Supply Chain Management	Procurement			No Reuse	95

Exhibit 300: Commerce Business Environment (Revision 3)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
 Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	Environment	Services						

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:
 To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Procurement	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	C.Buy, C.Request, EARS
Expense Management	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	ORSI

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., USA.gov, Pay.Gov, etc)? No

a. If "yes," please describe.

The CBE will leverage external sources such as the Government-wide Integrated Acquisition Environment (IAE) by utilizing the following systems: Business Partner Network, Central Contractor Registration, Excluded Parties List System, Electronic Subcontracting Reporting System, Federal Business Opportunities, Federal Agency Registration, Federal Technical Data System, Federal Procurement Data System-Next Generation, Online Representations and Certifications Application, and Wage Determination Online. Where feasible, these systems will be integrated with existing DoC Acquisitions systems to provide a one-stop-shop solution which addresses DoC's core functional acquisition requirements.

Exhibit 300: Part III: For "Operation and Maintenance" investments ONLY (Steady State)**Section A: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)**

Part III should be completed only for investments identified as "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? Yes
 - a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 2/6/2008
 - b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB? No
 - c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?
 - a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?
 - b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

1. Was an operational analysis conducted? Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed. 10/15/2008
 - b. If "yes," what were the results?

Commerce Standard Acquisition and Reporting System (CSTARS)

CSTARS transformed the procurement environment within DOC by simplifying, standardizing and improving the efficiency of the agency's procurement process. CBE and the "Commerce eMarketplace" alternative described in Exhibit 300 will deliver an expanded, integrated web based system that provides access to information across different business processes, sites, and programs from a single point of entry. This initiative will help improve acquisition and financial management throughout the Department.

A critical element of CSTARS operation is the need to interface and exchange data with the DOC Core Financial System (CFS) to ensure financial integrity of procurement transactions across the DOC enterprise. Beginning in 2003 (FY04), the Obligation and Requisition Standard Interface (ORSI) team worked to develop this interface and provide it to the acquisition and finance community. The Obligation and Requisition Standard Interface (ORSI) team expects to deploy the interface in the production acquisition and finance systems in October 2006 (FY07).

Balanced Scorecard (BSC) Performance Management System

OAMFA integrated the Balanced Scorecard system with EARS to simplify and consolidate system management and future development efforts. In addition, the BSC will increase the percentage of customer and employee responses and reduce the level of effort needed to conduct surveys and data collection processes, thereby providing more time to work with DOC Operating Units to analyze the data and work with customers and stakeholders to improve the acquisition function. This enables the DOC Acquisition Community to provide better customer service to our acquisition customers, employees and managers. This enhanced service is intended to improve organizational performance and reduce cost.

OAMFA Web

The OAMFA Web, <http://oam.ocs.doc.gov>, shares the OAMFA vision, goals and key information with internal and external customers in one central, electronic repository. OAMFA has contractor support for the website. In FY04, OAMFA worked with contractors and staff to develop an updated and redesigned web site to support the acquisition community and stakeholders and support OAMFA staff as business brokers. OAMFA successfully transitioned in October 2004 to a new web server hosted by the Office of Computer Services (OCS) in Springfield, VA.

- c. If "no," please explain why it was not conducted and if there are any plans to conduct operational analysis in the future:

2. Complete the following table to compare actual cost performance against the planned cost performance baseline. Milestones reported may include specific individual scheduled preventative and predictable corrective maintenance activities, or may be the total of planned annual operation and maintenance efforts).

- a. What costs are included in the reported Cost/Schedule Performance information (Government Only/Contractor Only/Both)? Contractor Only

2.b Comparison of Plan vs. Actual Performance Table							
Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Planned		Actual		Variance	
		Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost(\$M)	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost(\$M)	Schedule (# days)	Cost(\$M)
1	IAE Capabilities Interface	9/30/2014	\$0.020000		\$0.007700		\$0.012300
2	Licenses	9/30/2014	\$7.956000		\$1.821000		\$6.135000
3	O&M FTE Costs	9/30/2014	\$34.049000		\$16.805000		\$17.244000
4	EARS	9/30/2014	\$4.638000		\$2.484000		\$2.154000
5	Updated Business Case for CBE	1/31/2008	\$0.150000		\$0.150000		\$0.000000
6	IT Security (Certification, Assessment, Risk Assessment)	9/30/2014	\$1.057000		\$0.437000		\$0.620000
7	CBE Training	9/30/2014	\$1.418000		\$0.005000		\$1.413000
8	Deploy Web-based Contract Writing System	9/30/2011	\$0.000000		\$0.000000		\$0.000000
9	Hosting Services	9/30/2014	\$4.491000		\$2.016000		\$2.475000
10	Product Management Support	9/20/2014	\$12.251000		\$1.657000		\$10.594000
11	Technology Refresh	9/30/2014	\$0.900000		\$0.000000		\$0.900000
12	Business Process Re-engineering	9/30/2006	\$0.256000	9/30/2006	\$0.256000	0	\$0.000000
13	Financial Interface	10/1/2006	\$4.452000	10/1/2006	\$4.452000	0	\$0.000000