

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary**Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)****Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)**

1. Date of Submission:

2. Agency: Department of Commerce

3. Bureau: National Institute Of Standards And Technology

4. Name of this Capital Asset: NIST-wide Grant Management Information System

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.) 006-55-04-00-01-7080-00

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2010? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY 2010, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY 2010 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.) Operations and Maintenance

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2001 or earlier

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

In accordance with the DoC schedule for the consolidation of grant management systems, this investment will be transitioned to a Grants Management Center of Excellence or the designated DoC Grants Management system by the end of FY11.

The Federal Financial Assistance Management Improvement Act of 1999 (PL 106-107), Section 6(a) requires Federal agencies to establish: "a common application and reporting system, including electronic processes wherein a non-Federal entity can apply for, manage, and report on the use of funding from multiple Federal programs serving similar purposes and administered by different agencies; a common application or set of applications for use in applying for multiple Federal financial assistance programs serving similar purposes, administered by different Federal agencies." Section 5(a) requires Federal Agencies to develop a plan that: "streamlines and simplifies the application, administrative, and reporting procedures for Federal financial assistance programs; demonstrates appropriate agency use, or plans for use, of the common application and reporting system; allows applicants to electronically apply for, and report on, the use of Federal financial assistance funds; and ensures that recipients of Federal financial assistance provide timely, complete, and high quality information in response to Federal reporting requirements."

The NIST-wide Grant Management Information System (GMIS) has been designed, developed and fully deployed to: "respond to the requirements of the law; respond to the need to more accurately account for federally appropriated dollars; respond to the need to provide information at the desktop; and pursue the concept of a 'paperless' Government."

GMIS enables an initial Web-based system that captures and allows for the submission of the Federal Financial Assistance Application information, as well as accepting applications for Federal Financial Assistance. The needs identification for GMIS occurred in 1999 through a team representing all interested NIST OUs. GMIS became operational in 2002.

As the government-wide Grants.gov system goes into production status, the GMIS will become the NIST backend system to the government-wide Grants.gov system and appropriate Web-based aspects of GMIS will be replaced by the government-wide Grants.gov system.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? Yes

a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? 10/18/1999

10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? Yes

11. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?

Name

Phone Number

Email

a. What is the current FAC-P/PM (for civilian agencies) or DAWIA (for defense agencies) certification level of the Waiver Issued

program/project manager?

b. When was the Program/Project Manager Assigned? 10/1/2004

c. What date did the Program/Project Manager receive the FAC-P/PM certification? If the certification has not been issued, what is the anticipated date for certification? 9/30/2009

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project? Yes

a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? Yes

b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)

1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? Yes

If "yes," check all that apply: Expanded E-Government

a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.) Yes

a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review? No

b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program? 10001021 - National Institute of Standards and Technology Laboratories

c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive? Effective

15. Is this investment for information technology? Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Level 2

17. In addition to the answer in 11(a), what project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) (1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2008 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23) No

19. Is this a financial management system? Yes

a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFIA compliance area? Yes

1. If "yes," which compliance area: Subsection 5 (a)

2. If "no," what does it address?

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

NIST-wide Grants Management Information System

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware 0

Software 5
 Services 77
 Other 18
 21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? Yes

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name

Phone Number

Title

E-mail

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? Yes

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? No

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS)									
(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2008	CY 2009	BY 2010	BY+1 2011	BY+2 2012	BY+3 2013	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	0	0	0	0					
Acquisition:	0	0	0	0					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	0	0	0	0					
Operations & Maintenance:	0.191	0.075	0.1	1.175					
TOTAL:	0.191	0.075	0.1	1.175					
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	1.755	0.1	0.115	0.255					
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	7	1	1	2					

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? No

a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes: GMIS is being extended beyond its original retirement date of 9/30/2008 because the Department Grants System consolidation effort is making slow progress. The retirement, closeout, and transition costs have been moved to FY11.

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order (In accordance with FAR Part 16)	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer FAC-C or DAWIA Certification Level (Level 1, 2, 3, N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)
SB13410680298	Labor Hour	Yes	5/15/2006	5/15/2006	9/30/2009	0.074	No	Yes	Yes	NA	No	Yes		jo-lynn.davis@nist.gov	Level 2	

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

The contract total value is below the threshold that requires EVM.

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance? Yes

a. Explain why not or how this is being done? The Contracting Officer (CO) and the Contracting Officer's Technical Representative (COTR), share responsibilities for ensuring the procured IT best meets the Section 508 standard while satisfying the technical and functional requirements. A Section 508 clause is included in all support contracts. The Project Manager ensures that procured information systems comply with Section 508 technical standards and is ultimately responsible for Section 508 compliance of the total IT solution.

4. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? Yes

a. If "yes," what is the date? 5/14/2008

1. Is it Current? Yes

b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2006	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Training	Training for new users offered quarterly for Federal Program Officers (FPOs)	2006: 100%	100%	100%
2006	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	Information Management	Grants information is shared across organizations for use by known required parties for the information without duplication	2006: 50%	75%	75%
2006	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time and Timeliness	Cycle Time	Decrease in total grant processing time by the electronic acceptance of grant applications	2006: 32.8% Electronic	25% Electronic	32.8% Electronic
2006	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Technology	Effectiveness	User Satisfaction	All user satisfaction issues are raised through the GMIS Users Group and are addressed and resolved through upgrades	2006: GMIS User Group Satisfied	GMIS User Group Satisfied	GMIS User Group Satisfied

Exhibit 300: NIST-wide Grant Management Information System (Revision 21)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2007	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Training	Training for new users offered quarterly for Federal Program Officers (FPOs)	2006: 100%	100%	100%
2007	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	Information Management	Grants information is shared across organizations for use by known required parties for the information without duplication	2006: 50%	75%	75%
2007	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time and Timeliness	Cycle Time	Decrease in total grant processing time by the electronic acceptance of grant applications	2006: 32.8% Electronic	50% Electronic	50% Electronic
2007	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Technology	Effectiveness	User Satisfaction	All user satisfaction issues are raised through the GMIS Users Group and are addressed and resolved through upgrades	2006: GMIS User Group Satisfied	GMIS User Group Satisfied	GMIS User Group Satisfied
2008	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Training	Training for new users offered quarterly for Federal Program Officers (FPOs)	2006: 100%	100%	Available on October 31, 2008
2008	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	Information Management	Grants information is shared across organizations for use by known required parties for the information without duplication	2006: 50%	100%	Available on October 31, 2008
2008	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time and Timeliness	Cycle Time	Decrease in total grant processing time by the electronic acceptance of grant applications	2006: 32.8% Electronic	60% Electronic	Available on October 31, 2008
2008	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Technology	Effectiveness	User Satisfaction	All user satisfaction issues are raised through the GMIS Users Group and are addressed and resolved through upgrades	2006: GMIS User Group Satisfied	GMIS User Group Satisfied	Available on October 31, 2008
2009	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Training	Training for new users offered quarterly for Federal Program Officers (FPOs)	2006: 100%	100%	Available on October 31, 2009
2009	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	Information Management	Grants information is shared across organizations for use by known required parties for the information without duplication	2006: 50%	100%	Available on October 31, 2009
2009	4.1 Management	Processes and	Cycle Time and	Cycle Time	Decrease in total	2006: 32.8%	70% Electronic	Available on

Exhibit 300: NIST-wide Grant Management Information System (Revision 21)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Activities	Timeliness		grant processing time by the electronic acceptance of grant applications	Electronic		October 31, 2009
2009	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Technology	Effectiveness	User Satisfaction	All user satisfaction issues are reised through the GMIS Users Group and are addressed and resolved through upgrades	2006: GMIS User Group Satisfied	GMIS User Group Satisfied	Available on October 31, 2009
2010	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Training	Training for new users offered quarterly for Federal Program Officers (FPOs)	2006: 100%	100%	Available on October 31, 2010
2010	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Mission and Business Results	Information and Technology Management	Information Management	Grants information is shared across organizations for use by known required parties for the information without duplication	2006: 50%	100%	Available on October 31, 2010
2010	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time and Timeliness	Cycle Time	Decrease in total grant processing time by the electronic acceptance of grant applications	2006: 32.8% Electronic	75% Electronic	Available on October 31, 2010
2010	4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence.	Technology	Effectiveness	User Satisfaction	All user satisfaction issues are reised through the GMIS Users Group and are addressed and resolved through upgrades	2006: GMIS User Group Satisfied	GMIS User Group Satisfied	Available on October 31, 2010

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
<p>Details for Text Options:</p> <p>Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.</p> <p>Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.</p> <p>Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.</p>					

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target Yes

enterprise architecture?

a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. NIST-wide Grants Management Information System

b. If "no," please explain why?

3. Is this investment identified in a completed and approved segment architecture? No

a. If "yes," provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect. For detailed guidance regarding segment architecture codes, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>. 200-000

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
		Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Exchange			No Reuse	5
		Back Office Services	Financial Management	Payment / Settlement			No Reuse	5
		Business Analytical Services	Reporting	Ad Hoc			No Reuse	5
		Business Analytical Services	Reporting	Standardized / Canned			No Reuse	10
		Business Management Services	Management of Processes	Requirements Management			No Reuse	30
		Business Management Services	Organizational Management	Workgroup / Groupware			No Reuse	5
		Business Management Services	Supply Chain Management	Ordering / Purchasing			No Reuse	5
		Customer Services	Customer Relationship Management	Customer / Account Management			No Reuse	5
		Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Information Retrieval			No Reuse	5
		Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing			No Reuse	5
		Process Automation Services	Tracking and Workflow	Process Tracking			No Reuse	15
		Support Services	Search	Query			No Reuse	5

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

Exhibit 300: NIST-wide Grant Management Information System (Revision 21)

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:				
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.				
FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Data Exchange	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	Java Database Connectivity (JDBC)
Customer / Account Management	Component Framework	Security	Certificates / Digital Signatures	Digital Certificate Authentication
Ordering / Purchasing	Component Framework	Security	Certificates / Digital Signatures	Secure Sockets Layer (SSL)
Ad Hoc	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	Java Server Pages (JSP)
Data Exchange	Component Framework	User Presentation / Interface	Static Display	Hyper Text Markup Language (HTML)
Payment / Settlement	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	System to System
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Internet Explorer
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Mozilla Firefox
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Netscape Communicator
Requirements Management	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	Exists on separate servers accessible for NIST internal and external customers
Workgroup / Groupware	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Section 508
Customer / Account Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Security
Ordering / Purchasing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	Hyper Text Transfer Protocol Secure (HTTPS)
Standardized / Canned	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Middleware	Database Access: PL/SQL
Information Sharing	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	eXtensible Markup Language (XML)
Query	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle
Process Tracking	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	WebLogic 8
Ordering / Purchasing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Firewall
Information Retrieval	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	Enterprise Server
Process Tracking	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Independent Platform	Java 2 Platform Enterprise Edition (J2EE)

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., USA.gov, Pay.Gov, etc)? Yes

a. If "yes," please describe.

GMIS will utilize Grants.Gov and the Grants Line of Business.

Exhibit 300: Part III: For "Operation and Maintenance" investments ONLY (Steady State)**Section A: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)**

Part III should be completed only for investments identified as "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? Yes
 - a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 1/27/2008
 - b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB? No
 - c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?
 - a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?
 - b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

1. Was an operational analysis conducted? Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed. 7/28/2008
 - b. If "yes," what were the results?

The GMIS management team regularly performs reviews of the investment's ability to meet customer needs, financial and schedule performance, and customer satisfaction. In addition, the latest review included a study of required functionality of the system to meet customer needs until system retirement.

GMIS has been used as the tool to administer all awards administered by the NIST Grants office. With the automation of these interfaces, the grant administration process has been streamlined more efficiently and effectively adding cost savings and value to the organization and its clients. Cost has been reviewed and found to be inline with expectations. GMIS is expected to retire by the end of FY2011, dependent on the Department's progress with the Grants consolidation effort.

- c. If "no," please explain why it was not conducted and if there are any plans to conduct operational analysis in the future:

2. Complete the following table to compare actual cost performance against the planned cost performance baseline. Milestones reported may include specific individual scheduled preventative and predictable corrective maintenance activities, or may be the total of planned annual operation and maintenance efforts).
 - a. What costs are included in the reported Cost/Schedule Performance information (Government Only/Contractor Only/Both)? Contractor and Government

Exhibit 300: NIST-wide Grant Management Information System (Revision 21)

2.b Comparison of Plan vs. Actual Performance Table							
Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Planned		Actual		Variance	
		Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost(\$M)	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost(\$M)	Schedule (# days)	Cost(\$M)
1	Needs Assessment	8/31/2000	\$0.240480	8/31/2000	\$0.240480	0	\$0.000000
2	Develop, Deploy	3/13/2002	\$1.069111	3/13/2002	\$1.069111	0	\$0.000000
3	Hardware and Software Acquisition	12/31/2000	\$0.218816	12/31/2000	\$0.218816	0	\$0.000000
4	Maintenance and Operation	9/30/2002	\$0.500000	9/30/2002	\$0.565413	0	-\$0.065413
5	Maintenance and Operation	9/30/2003	\$0.500000	9/30/2003	\$0.390967	0	\$0.109033
6	Maintenance and Operation	9/30/2004	\$0.527474	9/30/2004	\$0.527474	0	\$0.000000
7	Maintenance and Operation	9/30/2005	\$0.570862	9/30/2005	\$0.570000	0	\$0.000862
8	Maintenance and Operation	9/30/2006	\$0.653700	9/30/2006	\$0.654000	0	-\$0.000300
9	Maintenance and Operation	9/30/2007	\$0.720000	9/30/2007	\$0.720000	0	\$0.000000
10	Maintenance and Operation	9/30/2008	\$0.175000	9/30/2008	\$0.175000	0	\$0.000000
11	Needs Assessment to Replace	9/30/2009	\$0.215000		\$0.107500		\$0.107500
12	M&O and Needs Assessment to Replace System	9/30/2010	\$1.430000				