

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary
Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission:

2. Agency: Department of Commerce

3. Bureau: Economic Development Administration

4. Name of this Capital Asset: EDA Operations Planning and Control System (OPCS) and Loan Billing and Management System (LBMS)

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.) 006-06-04-00-01-6001-00

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY 2010? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY 2010, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY 2010 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.) Operations and Maintenance

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2003

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

OPCS and LBMS are components of EDA's Combined Major Applications System.

The Operations Planning and Control System (OPCS) is a steady state, legacy database system, which captures and tracks information on EDA investments (grants). OPCS is the official system of records for the bureau's investment projects, and produces the project processing documentation that serves as the basis for management information about EDA investments or projects. Information related to project processing reviews and eligibility, financing, special initiatives, contacts, performance measures, close-out, archiving and other miscellaneous data are recorded in this system. Historical information for EDA's project investments is available in OPCS. Each year, all projects considered for funding from EDA are entered into this system. The operational concept of OPCS is that it: provides an efficient automated project processing and tracking system for EDA's programs/investments; provides agency-wide access to project information; is maintained by IT staff, but actively involves the OPCS User Group (which includes representatives from both HQ and field office staff); permits paperless processing (by EDA internal staff) using electronic forms when applicable, of select components of the EDA project processing lifecycle; enables reporting capabilities for current and historical data; has embedded business rules to improve data integrity; and takes advantage of user roles and profiles to enhance security. The system documents information provided in the EDA grant application and provides post-approval investment monitoring capability to project officers in the field and management officials in HQ offices. The OPCS system also contains the data needed for performance measurement under GPRA to quantify performance of the EDA grant program; for the interface to the Department's GNS; for submissions to FAADS and FFATA; and for the internal agency Balanced Scorecard.

LBMS maintains loan data for the life cycle loans within EDA's historical loan portfolio, from disbursement through close out, including all billing and collection information. LBMS is designed for use by headquarters accounting and liquidation staff. No other external provider has been found for this legislated function.

OPCS and LBMS are internal systems, accessed by EDA staff only, and are not available to any external entity or to the public. The systems are steady-state systems. There is no planned new development.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? Yes

a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? 10/1/2005

10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? Yes

11. Contact information of Program/Project Manager?

Name

Phone Number

Email

a. What is the current FAC-P/PM (for civilian agencies) or DAWIA (for defense agencies) certification level of the program/project manager? Mid/Journeyman//DAWIA-Level 2

Exhibit 300: EDA Operations Planning and Control System (OPCS) and Loan Billing and Management System (LBMS) (Revision 16)

- b. When was the Program/Project Manager Assigned? 10/1/2003
- c. What date did the Program/Project Manager receive the FAC-P/PM certification? If the certification has not been issued, what is the anticipated date for certification? 6/12/2009

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project? Yes

a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? Yes

b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) No

1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? No

If "yes," check all that apply:

a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.) Yes

a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review? No

b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program? 10000032 - Economic Development Administration

c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive? Moderately Effective

15. Is this investment for information technology? Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Level 2

17. In addition to the answer in 11(a), what project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) (2) Project manager qualification is under review for this investment

18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2008 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23) No

19. Is this a financial management system? Yes

a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFIA compliance area? No

1. If "yes," which compliance area: NA

2. If "no," what does it address? The LBMS addresses loan information. The OPCS is not a financial management system.

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

System Name: Loan Billing and Mngmt System

Acronym: LBMS

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2010 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware 7

Software 20

Services 44

Other 29

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? Yes

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name

Phone Number

Title Chief Counsel

E-mail

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? Yes

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? No

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

| Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------------|---------|---------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|-------|
| (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions) | | | | | | | | | |
| | PY-1 and earlier | PY 2008 | CY 2009 | BY 2010 | BY+1 2011 | BY+2 2012 | BY+3 2013 | BY+4 and beyond | Total |
| Planning: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Acquisition: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Subtotal Planning & Acquisition: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | | |
| Operations & Maintenance: | 0.88 | 0.455 | 0.49 | 0.532 | | | | | |
| TOTAL: | 0.88 | 0.455 | 0.49 | 0.532 | | | | | |
| Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above. | | | | | | | | | |
| Government FTE Costs | 0.28 | 0.175 | 0.195 | 0.19 | | | | | |
| Number of FTE represented by Costs: | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | |

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? No

a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2009 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes: Additional funds from ARRA S&E funds are being applied to this investment in order to facilitate Recovery reporting for grants.

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

NA

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance? Yes
- a. Explain why not or how this is being done? IT Requirement
4. Is there an acquisition plan which reflects the requirements of FAR Subpart 7.1 and has been approved in accordance with agency requirements? Yes
- a. If "yes," what is the date? 9/23/2002
1. Is it Current? No
- b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?
1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond the next President's Budget.

| Performance Information Table | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Category | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
| 2004 | | Customer Results | Timeliness and Responsiveness | Delivery Time | Average time between request for ad-hoc report of grant/project information and submission of requested report. | In FY00, average time between request and submission was 36-72 hours. | Reduce average time to 8-24 hours | Average time to respond to information requests, 16-24 hours. |
| 2005 | | Customer Results | Customer Benefit | Customer Impact or Burden | Electronic Access to EDA Applications via Grants.gov/OPCS interface | 100% of EDA Applications Received Manually (paper based) | 15% of EDA applications received via Grants.gov | TBD |
| 2005 | | Customer Results | Service Accessibility | Availability | % of system availability | FY02 availability at 90% | 99.99% availability (excluding scheduled maintenance, service provider outages and single points of access) | FY04 95% Availability |
| 2005 | | Processes and Activities | Cycle Time and Resource Time | Cycle Time | Reduce processing time for verification of highest distress eligibility criteria by 50% | Manual processes require 2-4 weeks. | 1-2 weeks | TBD - dependent upon integration with E-Grants & NOAA Grants/Online System |
| 2005 | | Technology | Quality | Functionality | Number of Economic Development Representative (EDR) (remote field personnel) with access to system | No EDR access | 100% EDR Access | May 2004 Communications Infrastructure modifications necessary for EDR access, complete. |
| 2006 | | Customer Results | Customer Benefit | Customer Impact or Burden | Electronic Access to EDA Applications via Grants.gov/OPCS interface | 100% of EDA applications received manually (paper based) | 25% of EDA Applications received via Grants.gov | TBD |
| 2006 | | Mission and Business Results | Controls and Oversight | Program Evaluation | Review BSC measures from data base | 25% Reviewed | 100% Reviewed | 100% Reviewed |
| 2006 | | Processes and Activities | Management and Innovation | Compliance | Number of system | FY2003 Full Certification | Recertification accomplished | FY03 Received Full Certification. |

Exhibit 300: EDA Operations Planning and Control System (OPCS) and Loan Billing and Management System (LBMS) (Revision 16)

| Performance Information Table | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|---|--|--|------------------------------|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Category | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
| | | | | | components compliant with security policies (federal, Departmental, agency) | | every 2-3 years | Recertification due in FY06. |
| 2006 | 2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research. | Technology | Reliability and Availability | Reliability | Upgrade UNIX O/S and implement security requirements | 0% | 100% | |
| 2007 | | Customer Results | Customer Benefit | Customer Impact or Burden | Electronic Access to EDA Applications via Grants.gov/OPCS interface. | 100% of EDA applications received manually (paper based) | 50% of EDA applications received via Grants.gov | TBD |
| 2007 | | Customer Results | Service Accessibility | Availability | % of system availability | FY05 availability at 96% | 99.99% availability (excluding scheduled maintenance, service provider outages and single points of access). | TBD |
| 2007 | 2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research. | Mission and Business Results | Controls and Oversight | Corrective Action | Implement improved configuration management | 25% | 75% | |
| 2007 | 1.2 Advance responsible economic growth and trade while protecting American security. | Processes and Activities | Financial (Processes and Activities) | Savings and Cost Avoidance | Eliminate entry errors from duplicate bills in LBMS | 3% | 1% | 1% |
| 2008 | 4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence. | Mission and Business Results | Administrative Management | Workplace Policy Development And Management | Implement HQ BSC | 0% | 100% | 100% |
| 2008 | 2.3 Advance the development of global e-commerce and enhanced telecommunications and information services. | Technology | Information and Data | Data Storage | Full Upgrade to Oracle 10g or higher | 0% | 100% | 100% |
| 2009 | 2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research. | Customer Results | Customer Benefit | Customer Training | Rewrite User Manuals | 0% | 100% | |
| 2009 | 2.3 Advance the development of global e-commerce and enhanced telecommunications and information services. | Processes and Activities | Financial (Processes and Activities) | Financial Management | Reduce Number of Requests for DBA assistance with amendments | 75 per year | 5 per year | |
| 2009 | 4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and | Processes and Activities | Quality | Complaints | Adherence to EDA regulations by updating OPCS approval review forms | 0 | 100 | |

| Performance Information Table | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|--|---|---|----------------|
| Fiscal Year | Strategic Goal(s) Supported | Measurement Area | Measurement Category | Measurement Grouping | Measurement Indicator | Baseline | Target | Actual Results |
| | Management Excellence. | | | | | | | |
| 2009 | 2.1 Develop tools and capabilities that improve the productivity, quality, dissemination, and efficiency of research. | Technology | Effectiveness | User Requirements | Number of remote field personnel with access to OPCS | No access | 75% of personnel provided with access | |
| 2010 | 4.1 Management Integration Goal: Achieve Organizational and Management Excellence. | Processes and Activities | Productivity | Productivity | Upgrade internal and external reporting capabilities | Limited number of users have ability to create ad hoc reports | 33% of staff has ability to create ad hoc reports (needs updated tool or additional training) | |

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

| 8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table: | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| (a) Name of System | (b) Is this a new system? (Y/N) | (c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N) | (d) Internet Link or Explanation | (e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N) | (f) Internet Link or Explanation |
| EDA008 Combined Major Applications System (OPCS and LBMS) | No | No | No, because the system does not contain, process, or transmit personal identifying information. | No | No Because the system not a Privacy Act system of records. |

Details for Text Options:
 Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.
 Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.
 Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes

a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. OPCS and LBMS

b. If "no," please explain why?

3. Is this investment identified in a completed and approved segment architecture? No

a. If "yes," provide the six digit code corresponding to the agency segment architecture. The segment architecture codes are maintained by the agency Chief Architect. For detailed guidance regarding segment architecture codes, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>. 100-000

Exhibit 300: EDA Operations Planning and Control System (OPCS) and Loan Billing and Management System (LBMS) (Revision 16)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
 Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

| Agency Component Name | Agency Component Description | FEA SRM Service Domain | FEA SRM Service Type | FEA SRM Component (a) | Service Component Reused Name (b) | Service Component Reused UPI (b) | Internal or External Reuse? (c) | BY Funding Percentage (d) |
|-----------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | | Back Office Services | Data Management | Data Classification | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| | | Back Office Services | Development and Integration | Legacy Integration | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| OPCS | Operations Planning and Control System | Business Management Services | Investment Management | Portfolio Management | | | No Reuse | 0 |
| LBMS | Loan Billing and Management System | Business Management Services | Management of Processes | Requirements Management | | | No Reuse | 0 |

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:
 To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

| FEA SRM Component (a) | FEA TRM Service Area | FEA TRM Service Category | FEA TRM Service Standard | Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name) |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|---|
| OLAP | Component Framework | Data Management | Reporting and Analysis | Online Analytical Processing (OLAP) |
| Access Control | Component Framework | Security | Supporting Security Services | Transport Layer Security (TLS) |
| Access Control | Service Access and Delivery | Service Requirements | Authentication / Single Sign-on | NA |
| Data Integration | Service Interface and Integration | Integration | Enterprise Application Integration | Application Connectivity |
| Data Mart | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Database / Storage | Database | Oracle |
| Data Exchange | Service Platform and Infrastructure | Hardware / Infrastructure | Local Area Network (LAN) | Virtual LAN (VLAN) |

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., USA.gov, Pay.Gov, etc)? No

a. If "yes," please describe.

Exhibit 300: Part III: For "Operation and Maintenance" investments ONLY (Steady State)

Section A: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

Part III should be completed only for investments identified as "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

- 1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? Yes
 - a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 4/25/2006
 - b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB? No
 - c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

NA

- 2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed? Yes
 - a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date? 1/31/2009
 - b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

NA - Risk Management plan is in place.

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

- 1. Was an operational analysis conducted? Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed. 1/18/2008
 - b. If "yes," what were the results?

Current financial performance of OPCS and LBMS is within the pre-established cost baselines. No additional resources were requested or assigned to this system during the preceding twelve months. No unplanned changes were requested or approved during that period. OPCS and LBMS operated within the expected steady state costs as outlined in EDA's IT budget requests.

- c. If "no," please explain why it was not conducted and if there are any plans to conduct operational analysis in the future:

2. Complete the following table to compare actual cost performance against the planned cost performance baseline. Milestones reported may include specific individual scheduled preventative and predictable corrective maintenance activities, or may be the total of planned annual operation and maintenance efforts).

- a. What costs are included in the reported Cost/Schedule Performance information (Government Only/Contractor Only/Both)? Contractor and Government

Exhibit 300: EDA Operations Planning and Control System (OPCS) and Loan Billing and Management System (LBMS) (Revision 16)

2.b Comparison of Plan vs. Actual Performance Table

| Milestone Number | Description of Milestone | Planned | | Actual | | Variance | |
|------------------|--|------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------|
| | | Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Total Cost(\$M) | Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy) | Total Cost(\$M) | Schedule (# days) | Cost(\$M) |
| 1 | Security Controls - Audit Logs | 8/31/2006 | \$0.003800 | 8/31/2006 | \$0.003200 | 0 | \$0.000600 |
| 2 | Ongoing Operations and Maintenance | 9/30/2007 | \$0.322000 | 9/30/2007 | \$0.320000 | 0 | \$0.002000 |
| 3 | Update OPCS with revised regulations | 6/29/2007 | \$0.122200 | | | | |
| 4 | Provide interface to CBS database at NOAA | 10/31/2006 | \$0.042000 | 1/25/2008 | \$0.045000 | -451 | -\$0.003000 |
| 5 | Configuration Management | 1/5/2007 | \$0.075000 | 1/5/2007 | \$0.050000 | 0 | \$0.025000 |
| 6 | Edit LBMS Reports to Resolve Duplicate Billing Entries | 6/30/2007 | \$0.030000 | 5/11/2007 | \$0.025000 | 50 | \$0.005000 |